arXiv:1511.04915v1 [math.AP] 16 Nov 2015

Weak solutions to the full Navier-Stokes-Fourier system with slip boundary conditions in time dependent domains

Ondřej Kreml [1*] Václav Mácha [1*] Šárka Nečasová [1*] Aneta Wróblewska-Kamińska [2†]

[1]Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic
Žitná 25, 115 67 Praha 1, Czech Republic

[2] Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences
Śniadeckich 8, 00-656 Warszawa, Poland

Abstract

We consider the compressible Navier-Stokes-Fourier system on time-dependent domains with prescribed motion of the boundary, supplemented with slip boundary conditions for the velocity. Assuming that the pressure can be decomposed into an elastic part and a thermal part, we prove global-in-time existence of weak solutions. Our approach is based on the penalization of the boundary behavior, viscosity, and the pressure in the weak formulation. Moreover, the thermal energy equation is in the weak formulation replaced by the thermal energy inequality complemented with the global total energy inequality. In the approximation scheme the thermal energy inequality is consider to be satisfied in the renormalized sense.

Keywords: compressible Navier-Stokes-Fourier equations, time-varying domain, slip boundary conditions

1 Introduction

The flow of a compressible viscous heat conducting fluid is in the absence of external forces described by the following system of partial differential equations

$$\partial_t \varrho + \mathrm{div}_x(\varrho \mathbf{u}) = 0, \tag{1.1}$$

$$\partial_t(\varrho \mathbf{u}) + \mathrm{div}_x(\varrho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) + \nabla_x p(\varrho, \vartheta) = \mathrm{div}_x \mathbb{S}(\nabla_x \mathbf{u}), \tag{1.2}$$

$$\partial_t(\varrho E) + \mathrm{div}_x((\varrho E + p)\mathbf{u}) + \mathrm{div}_x \mathbf{q} = \mathrm{div}_x(\mathbb{S}(\nabla_x \mathbf{u})\mathbf{u}). \tag{1.3}$$

These equations are mathematical formulations of the balance of mass, linear momentum and total energy respectively. Here ϱ is the density of the fluid, $\mathbf{u}$ denotes the velocity and $E = \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{u}|^2 + e(\varrho, \vartheta)$ the specific total energy given as sum of the kinetic energy and the internal energy e being a function of density and temperature ϑ.

*The work of O.K., V.M. and Š.N. was supported by Grant of GA ČR GA13-00522S and by RVO 67985840.
†The work of A.W.-K. was supported by Grant of National Science Center Sonata, No 2013/09/D/ST1/03692.

The stress tensor $\mathbb{S}$ is determined by the standard Newton rheological law

$$\mathbb{S}(\nabla_x \mathbf{u}) = \mu \left(\nabla_x \mathbf{u} + \nabla_x^t \mathbf{u} - \frac{2}{3} \mathrm{div}_x \mathbf{u} \mathbb{I} \right) + \eta \mathrm{div}_x \mathbf{u} \mathbb{I}, \ \mu > 0, \ \eta \geq 0. \tag{1.4}$$

For simplicity, throughout the rest of this paper we assume the viscosity coefficients μ and η to be constant, however the case of μ, η being dependent on temperature in a suitable way can be also treated, see Section 5.

The Fourier law for the heat flux $\mathbf{q}$ has the following form:

$$\mathbf{q} = -\kappa(\vartheta) \nabla_x \vartheta, \ \kappa > 0. \tag{1.5}$$

Motivated by [4] we assume the following state equation for the pressure

$$p(\varrho, \vartheta) = p_e(\varrho) + \vartheta p_\vartheta(\varrho), \tag{1.6}$$

with the additional assumption that p_ϑ is a non-decreasing function of the density vanishing for $\varrho = 0$. Consequently the Maxwell relation yields the form of the specific internal energy as

$$e(\varrho, \vartheta) = P_e(\varrho) + Q(\vartheta) \tag{1.7}$$

with the elastic potential

$$P_e(\varrho) = \int_1^\varrho \frac{p_e(z)}{z^2} \mathrm{d}z \tag{1.8}$$

and the thermal energy being related to the specific heat at constant volume c_v by

$$Q(\vartheta) = \int_0^\vartheta c_v(z) \mathrm{d}z, \ c_v(z) \geq \underline{c_v} > 0 \text{ for all } z \geq 0, \tag{1.9}$$

Assuming smoothness of the flow the system (1.1)-(1.3) can be rewritten using the equation for the thermal energy instead of the balance of total energy

$$\partial_t \varrho + \mathrm{div}_x(\varrho \mathbf{u}) = 0, \tag{1.10}$$

$$\partial_t(\varrho \mathbf{u}) + \mathrm{div}_x(\varrho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) + \nabla_x p(\varrho, \vartheta) = \mathrm{div}_x \mathbb{S}(\nabla_x \mathbf{u}), \tag{1.11}$$

$$\partial_t(\varrho Q(\vartheta)) + \mathrm{div}_x(\varrho Q(\vartheta) \mathbf{u}) + \mathrm{div}_x \mathbf{q} = \mathbb{S}(\nabla_x \mathbf{u}) : \nabla_x \mathbf{u} - \vartheta p_\vartheta(\varrho) \mathrm{div}_x \mathbf{u}. \tag{1.12}$$

We study the system of equations (1.10)-(1.12) on a moving domain $\Omega = \Omega_t$ with the prescribed movement of the boundary and on time interval $[0, T]$ with $T < \infty$. More precisely, the boundary of the domain Ω_t occupied by the fluid is described by means of a *given* velocity field $\mathbf{V}(t, x)$, where $t \geq 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Assuming $\mathbf{V}$ is regular, we solve the associated system of differential equations

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \mathbf{X}(t, x) = \mathbf{V}\Big(t, \mathbf{X}(t, x)\Big), \ t > 0, \ \mathbf{X}(0, x) = x, \tag{1.13}$$

and set

$$\Omega_\tau = \mathbf{X}(\tau, \Omega_0), \text{ where } \Omega_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \text{ is a given domain, } \Gamma_\tau = \partial \Omega_\tau, \text{ and } Q_\tau = \{(t, x) \mid t \in (0, \tau), \ x \in \Omega_\tau\}.$$

The impermeability of the boundary of the physical domain is described by the condition

$$(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{V}) \cdot \mathbf{n}|_{\Gamma_\tau} = 0 \text{ for any } \tau \geq 0, \tag{1.14}$$

where $\mathbf{n}(t,x)$ denotes the unit outer normal vector to the boundary Γ_t. Moreover, we assume the Navier type boundary conditions in the form

$$[\mathbb{S}\mathbf{n}]_{\tan} + \zeta\,[\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{V}]_{\tan}\,|_{\Gamma_\tau} = 0,\ \zeta \geq 0, \tag{1.15}$$

where ζ represents a "friction" coefficient. If $\zeta = 0$, we obtain the *complete slip* while the asymptotic limit $\zeta \to \infty$ gives rise to the standard no-slip boundary conditions.

Concerning the heat flux we consider the conservative boundary conditions

$$\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{n} = 0 \text{ for all } t \in [0,T],\ x \in \Gamma_t. \tag{1.16}$$

For physical motivation of correct description of the fluid boundary behavior, see Bulíček, Málek and Rajagopal [1], Priezjev and Troian [16] and the references therein.

Finally, the problem (1.10)-(1.16) is supplemented by the initial conditions

$$\varrho(0,\cdot) = \varrho_0, \quad (\varrho\mathbf{u})(0,\cdot) = (\varrho\mathbf{u})_0, \quad \vartheta(0,\cdot) = \vartheta_0, \quad (\varrho Q(\vartheta))(0,\cdot) = (\varrho Q)_0 = \varrho_0 Q(\vartheta_0) \quad \text{in } \Omega_0. \tag{1.17}$$

The main goal of this paper is to show the *existence* of global-in-time *weak solutions* to problem (1.10)-(1.17) for any finite energy initial data. The existence theory for the barotropic Navier-Stokes system on *fixed* spatial domains in the framework of weak solutions was developed in the seminal work by Lions [12], and later extended in [9] to a class of physically relevant pressure-density state equations. Then it was extended by Feireisl to the full Navier-Stokes-Fourier system [4, 5].

The investigation of *incompressible* fluids in time dependent domains started with a seminal paper of Ladyzhenskaya [11], see also [13, 14, 15] for more recent results in this direction.

Compressible fluid flows in time dependent domains in barotropic case were examined in [6] for the *no-slip* boundary conditions and in [7] for the slip boundary conditions. The aim of this paper is to extend this result to the full system. We proceed in the following way.

1. In order to deal with the slip boundary condition, we introduce to the weak formulation of the momentum equation a term

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_t} (\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{V})\cdot\mathbf{n}\ \boldsymbol{\varphi}\cdot\mathbf{n}\ \mathrm{dS}_x\ \mathrm{d}t,\ \varepsilon > 0 \text{ small}, \tag{1.18}$$

   which was originally proposed by Stokes and Carey in [17]. This extra term allows to consider the system in time independent domain which is divided by impermeable boundary Γ_t. In order to handle the behaviour of fluid in the solid domain Q_T^c we use the following three (in fact four) level penalization scheme

2. In addition to (1.18), we introduce a *variable* shear viscosity coefficient $\mu = \mu_\omega$, where μ_ω remains strictly positive in the fluid domain Q_T but vanishes in the solid domain Q_T^c as $\omega \to 0$.

3. We introduce the heat conductivity coefficient $\kappa_\nu(t,x,\vartheta)$ which remains strictly positive in the fluid domain Q_T but vanishes in the solid domain Q_T^c as $\nu \to 0$. For technical reasons this procedure needs to be done in two steps, more precisely we consider a step function $\kappa_\nu = \kappa$ in the fluid part and $\kappa_\nu = \nu\kappa$ in the solid part. This function is then mollified to get smooth $\kappa_{\nu,\xi}$, $\xi > 0$ with $\kappa_{\nu,\xi} \to \kappa_\nu$ as $\xi \to 0$.

4. Similarly to the existence theory developed in [9], we introduce the *artificial pressure*

$$p_\delta(\varrho,\vartheta) = p(\varrho,\vartheta) + \delta\varrho^\beta,\ \beta \geq 4,\ \delta > 0,$$

   in the momentum equation (1.11).

5. Keeping $\varepsilon, \delta, \nu, \xi$ and $\omega > 0$ fixed, we solve the modified problem in a (bounded) reference domain $B \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ chosen in such a way that
$$\overline{\Omega}_\tau \subset B \text{ for any } \tau \in [0,T].$$
To this end, we adapt the existence theory for the compressible Navier-Stokes system with variable viscosity coefficients developed in [5].

6. We take the initial density ϱ_0 vanishing outside Ω_0 and letting $\varepsilon \to 0$ for fixed $\delta,\ \omega > 0$ we obtain a "two-fluid" system where the density vanishes in the solid part $((0,T) \times B) \setminus Q_T$ of the reference domain together with the thermal pressure p_ϑ. It follows that the choice of the pressure is fundamental.

7. Passing with $\xi \to 0$ we recover the system with jump in the heat conductivity coefficient and justify the choice of the boundary condition of the test function in the weak formulation of the thermal energy balance.

8. Letting the viscosity vanish in the solid part, we perform the limit $\omega \to 0$, where the extra stresses disappear in the limit system. The desired conclusion results from the final limit process, where we set $\nu = \nu(\delta)$ and let $\delta \to 0$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce all necessary preliminary material including a weak formulation of the problem and state the main result. Section 3 is devoted to the penalized problem and to uniform bounds and existence of solutions at the starting level of approximations. In Section 4, the limits for $\varepsilon \to 0$, $\xi \to 0$, $\omega \to 0$, and $\delta \to 0$ are preformed successively. Section 5 discusses possible extensions and applications of the method.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Hypotheses

Hypotheses imposed on constitutive relations and transport coefficients are motivated by the general existence theory for the Navier-Stokes-Fourier system developed in [4, 5].

Hypotheses on the pressure:

$$\left.\begin{aligned} &p_e \in C[0,\infty) \cap C^1(0,\infty),\ p_e(0) = 0, \\ &p_e'(\varrho) \geq a_1 \varrho^{\gamma-1} - b \text{ for all } \varrho > 0, \\ &p_e(\varrho) \leq a_2 \varrho^\gamma + b \text{ for all } \varrho \geq 0, \end{aligned}\right\} \tag{2.1}$$

for certain constants $\gamma > \frac{3}{2}$, $a_1, a_2, b > 0$,

$$\left.\begin{aligned} &p_\vartheta \in C[0,\infty) \cap C^1(0,\infty),\ p_\vartheta(0) = 0, \\ &p_\vartheta \text{ is a non-decreasing function of } \varrho \in [0,\infty), \\ &p_\vartheta(\varrho) \leq c\varrho^{\frac{\gamma}{3}} \text{ for all } \varrho \geq 0. \end{aligned}\right\} \tag{2.2}$$

Hypotheses on the heat conductivity coefficient:

$$\left.\begin{aligned} &\kappa = \kappa(\vartheta) \text{ belongs to the class } C^2[0,\infty), \\ &k_1(\vartheta^\alpha + 1) \leq \kappa(\vartheta) \leq k_2(\vartheta^\alpha + 1) \text{ for all } \vartheta \geq 0, \\ &\text{with constants } k_1,\ k_2 > 0,\ \alpha \geq 4 \text{ and } \alpha \geq \tfrac{12(\gamma-1)}{\gamma}. \end{aligned}\right\} \tag{2.3}$$

Hypothesis on the thermal energy:

$$\left.\begin{aligned} &Q = Q(\vartheta) = \int_0^\vartheta c_v(z)\,\mathrm{d}z, \\ &\text{where } c_v \in C^1[0,\infty) \text{ is such that there exist } 0 < \underline{c} < \overline{c} < \infty, \\ &\underline{c}(1 + \vartheta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1}) \leq c_v(\vartheta) \leq \overline{c}(1 + \vartheta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1}). \end{aligned}\right\} \tag{2.4}$$

We would like to emphasise that the lower bound on c_v is restrictive (compare with [4, Section 3]). However, this assumption is essential since it allows us to derive estimates on the velocity – see (4.35).

2.2 Weak formulation, main result

In the weak formulation, it is convenient to consider the continuity equation (1.10) in the whole physical space $\mathbb{R}^3$ provided the density ϱ is extended to be equal to zero outside the fluid domain, specifically

$$\int_{\Omega_\tau} \varrho\varphi(\tau,\cdot)\ \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Omega_0} \varrho_0\varphi(0,\cdot)\ \mathrm{d}x = \int_0^\tau \int_{\Omega_t} (\varrho\partial_t\varphi + \varrho\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla_x\varphi)\ \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t \tag{2.5}$$

for any $\tau \in [0,T]$ and any test function $\varphi \in C_c^\infty([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^3)$. Moreover, equation (1.10) is also satisfied in the sense of renormalized solutions introduced by DiPerna and Lions [2]:

$$\int_{\Omega_\tau} b(\varrho)\varphi(\tau,\cdot)\ \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Omega_0} b(\varrho_0)\varphi(0,\cdot)\ \mathrm{d}x = \int_0^\tau \int_{\Omega_t} (b(\varrho)\partial_t\varphi + b(\varrho)\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla_x\varphi + (b(\varrho) - b'(\varrho)\varrho)\,\mathrm{div}_x\mathbf{u}\varphi)\ \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t \tag{2.6}$$

for any $\tau \in [0,T]$, any $\varphi \in C_c^\infty([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^3)$, and any $b \in C^1[0,\infty)$, $b(0) = 0$, $b'(r) = 0$ for large r. Of course, we suppose that $\varrho \geq 0$ a.e. in $(0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^3$.

Similarly, the momentum equation (1.11) is replaced by a family of integral identities

$$\int_{\Omega_\tau} \varrho\mathbf{u}\cdot\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\tau,\cdot)\ \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Omega_0} (\varrho\mathbf{u})_0\cdot\boldsymbol{\varphi}(0,\cdot)\ \mathrm{d}x \tag{2.7}$$

$$= \int_0^\tau \int_{\Omega_t} (\varrho\mathbf{u}\cdot\partial_t\boldsymbol{\varphi} + \varrho[\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{u}] : \nabla_x\boldsymbol{\varphi} + p(\varrho,\vartheta)\mathrm{div}_x\boldsymbol{\varphi} - \mathbb{S}(\nabla_x\mathbf{u}) : \nabla_x\boldsymbol{\varphi})\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t$$

for any $\tau \in [0,T]$ and any test function $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in C_c^\infty([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^3;\mathbb{R}^3)$ satisfying

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi}\cdot\mathbf{n}|_{\Gamma_\tau} = 0 \text{ for any } \tau \in [0,T]. \tag{2.8}$$

Then the impermeability condition (1.14) is satisfied in the sense of traces, specifically,

$$\mathbf{u}, \nabla_x \mathbf{u} \in L^2(Q_T; \mathbb{R}^3) \text{ and } (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{V}) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\tau, \cdot)|_{\Gamma_\tau} = 0 \text{ for a.a. } \tau \in [0, T]. \tag{2.9}$$

According to [4, 5] the equation (1.12) is replaced by two inequalities, the *thermal energy inequality*

$$\partial_t(\varrho Q(\vartheta)) + \mathrm{div}_x(\varrho Q(\vartheta)\mathbf{u}) - \Delta \mathcal{K}(\vartheta) \geq \mathbb{S} : \nabla_x \mathbf{u} - \vartheta p_\vartheta \mathrm{div}_x \mathbf{u} \tag{2.10}$$

where

$$\mathcal{K}(\vartheta) = \int_0^\vartheta \kappa(z)\,\mathrm{d}z$$

and the *global total energy inequality*. In the case of the problem on a fixed domain Ω, this inequality states simply

$$\int_\Omega \varrho(\frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{u}|^2 + P_e(\varrho) + Q(\vartheta))(\tau, \cdot)\,\mathrm{d}x \leq \int_\Omega \left(\frac{|(\varrho\mathbf{u})_0|^2}{2\varrho_0} + \varrho_0 P_e(\varrho_0) + \varrho_0 Q(\vartheta_0) \right)(\cdot)\,\mathrm{d}x \tag{2.11}$$

for all $\tau \geq 0$, the elastic potential P_e is defined in (1.8).

However, in the problem on the moving domain this inequality is no longer such simple and additional terms appear. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_\tau} \varrho(\frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{u}|^2 + P_e(\varrho) + Q(\vartheta))(\tau, \cdot)\,\mathrm{d}x &\leq \int_{\Omega_0} \left(\frac{|(\varrho\mathbf{u})_0|^2}{2\varrho_0} + \varrho_0 P_e(\varrho_0) + \varrho_0 Q(\vartheta_0) \right)(\cdot)\,\mathrm{d}x \\ &+ \int_{\Omega_\tau} (\varrho\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{V})(\tau, \cdot)\,\mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Omega_0} (\varrho\mathbf{u})_0 \cdot \mathbf{V}(0, \cdot)\,\mathrm{d}x \\ &+ \int_0^\tau \int_{\Omega_t} (\mathbb{S}(\nabla_x \mathbf{u}) : \nabla_x \mathbf{V} - \varrho\mathbf{u} \cdot \partial_t \mathbf{V} - \varrho\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u} : \nabla_x \mathbf{V} - p(\varrho)\mathrm{div}_x \mathbf{V})\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

for all $\tau \geq 0$. We also emphasise that the boundary condition (1.16) is replaced by the inequality

$$\frac{\partial \vartheta}{\partial \mathbf{n}} \geq 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_t \text{ for all } t \in [0, T]. \tag{2.13}$$

Following these considerations, in the weak formulation of the problem the temperature ϑ satisfies

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega_t} \varrho Q(\vartheta)\partial_t \varphi + \varrho Q(\vartheta)\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla\varphi + \mathcal{K}(\vartheta)\Delta\varphi\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t \leq \int_0^T \int_{\Omega_t} (\vartheta p_\vartheta \mathrm{div}_x \mathbf{u} - \mathbb{S} : \nabla_x \mathbf{u})\varphi\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t + \int_{\Omega_0} \varrho_0 Q(\vartheta_0)\varphi(0)\,\mathrm{d}x \tag{2.14}$$

for any $\varphi \in C^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3)$, $\varphi \geq 0$, $\varphi(T) = 0$, $\nabla\varphi \cdot \mathbf{n}|_{\Gamma_\tau} = 0$ for any $\tau \in [0, T]$.

Definition 2.1 *We say that the trio* $(\varrho, \mathbf{u}, \vartheta)$ *is a* variational solution *of problem* (1.10)-(1.12) *with boundary conditions* (1.14)-(1.16) *and initial conditions* (1.17) *if*

- $\varrho \in L^\infty(0, T; L^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^3))$,
- $\mathbf{u}, \nabla_x \mathbf{u} \in L^2(Q_T; \mathbb{R}^3)$, $\varrho\mathbf{u} \in L^\infty(0, T; L^m(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{R}^3))$ *for some* $m > \frac{6}{5}$,
- $\varrho Q(\vartheta) \in L^\infty(0, T; L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^2(0, T; L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))$ *for some* $q > \frac{6}{5}$, $\log\vartheta, \vartheta p_\vartheta(\varrho) \in L^2(Q_T)$, $\mathcal{K}(\vartheta) \in L^1(Q_T)$,
- *relations* (2.5)-(2.9), (2.12) *and* (2.14) *are satisfied.*

At this stage, we are ready to state the main result of the present paper:

Theorem 2.1 *Let $\Omega_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a bounded domain of class $C^{2+\nu}$, and let $\mathbf{V} \in C^1([0,T]; C_c^3(\mathbb{R}^3;\mathbb{R}^3))$ be given. Assume that the pressure $p(\varrho,\vartheta)$ takes the form (1.6) with $p_e, p_\vartheta \in C[0,\infty) \cap C^1(0,\infty)$ complying with the hypothesis (2.1), (2.2) with a certain $\gamma > 3/2$. Moreover let $\mathbf{q}$ be given by (1.5) with $\kappa(\vartheta)$ complying with the hypothesis (2.3) and let hypothesis (2.4) be satisfied with $\alpha \geq \frac{12(\gamma-1)}{\gamma}$. Furthermore let the initial data fulfill*

$$\varrho_0 \in L^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^3),\ \varrho_0 \geq 0,\ \varrho_0 \not\equiv 0,\ \varrho_0|_{\mathbb{R}^3\setminus\Omega_0} = 0,\ (\varrho\mathbf{u})_0 = 0 \text{ a.a. on the set } \{\varrho_0 = 0\},\ \int_{\Omega_0} \frac{1}{\varrho_0}|(\varrho\mathbf{u})_0|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x < \infty$$

and

$$\vartheta_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega_0), \quad \vartheta_0 \geq \underline{\vartheta} > 0 \quad \text{on } \Omega_0.$$

Then the problem (1.10)-(1.12) with boundary conditions (1.14)-(1.16) and initial conditions (1.17) admits a variational solution on any time interval $(0,T)$ in the sense specified through Definition 2.1.

The rest of the paper is devoted to the proof of Theorem 2.1.

3 Penalization

For the sake of simplicity, we restrict ourselves to the case $\zeta = 0$ in (1.15) and $\eta = 0$ in (1.4). As we shall see in Section 5, the main ideas of the proof presented below require only straightforward modifications to accommodate the general case.

3.1 Penalized problem - weak formulation

Choosing $R > 0$ such that

$$\mathbf{V}|_{[0,T]\times\{|x|>R\}} = 0, \quad \overline{\Omega}_0 \subset \{|x| < R\}$$

we take the reference domain $B = \{|x| < 2R\}$.

Next, the shear viscosity coefficient μ_ω is taken such that

$$\mu_\omega \in C_c^\infty([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^3),\ 0 < \underline{\mu}_\omega \leq \mu_\omega(t,x) \leq \mu \text{ in } [0,T]\times B,\ \mu_\omega(\tau,\cdot)|_{\Omega_\tau} = \mu \text{ for any } \tau \in [0,T] \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$\mu_\omega \to 0 \text{ a.e. in } (0,T)\times B \setminus Q_T \qquad \text{as } \omega \to 0. \tag{3.2}$$

Similarly, we introduce variable heat conductivity coefficient as follows: $\kappa_\nu(t,x,\vartheta) = \chi_\nu(t,x)\kappa(\vartheta)$, where

$$\chi_\nu = 1 \quad \text{in } Q_T \qquad \text{and } \chi_\nu = \nu \quad \text{in } (0,T)\times B \setminus Q_T,$$

and its mollification $\kappa_{\nu,\xi}(t,x,\vartheta) = \chi_{\nu,\xi}(t,x)\kappa(\vartheta)$, where

$$\chi_{\nu,\xi} = \chi_\nu \star \Phi_\xi. \tag{3.3}$$

Here $\star$ denotes the convolution in time-space (i.e. $\mathbb{R}^4$), $\Phi(t,x)$ is a standard mollifier such that $\Phi_\xi(t,x) \to \delta_{t,x}$ as $\xi \to 0$ in the sense of distributions.

Finally, let ϱ_0, $(\varrho\mathbf{u})_0$ and ϑ_0 be initial conditions as specified in Theorem 2.1. We define modified initial data $\varrho_{0,\delta}$, $(\varrho\mathbf{u})_{0,\delta}$ and $\vartheta_{0,\delta}$ so that

$$\varrho_{0,\delta} \geq 0,\ \varrho_{0,\delta} \not\equiv 0,\ \varrho_{0,\delta}|_{\mathbb{R}^3\setminus\Omega_0} = 0,\ \int_B \left(\varrho_{0,\delta}^\gamma + \delta\varrho_{0,\delta}^\beta\right) \mathrm{d}x \leq c,\ \varrho_{0,\delta} \to \varrho_0 \text{ in } L^\gamma(B),\ |\{\varrho_{0,\delta} < \varrho_0\}| \to 0, \tag{3.4}$$

$$(\varrho\mathbf{u})_{0,\delta} = \begin{cases} (\varrho\mathbf{u})_0 & \text{if } \varrho_{0,\delta} \geq \varrho_0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

and for $\vartheta_{0,\delta} \in C^{2+\nu}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ it holds

$$\nabla\vartheta_{0,\delta}\cdot\mathbf{n}|_{\Gamma_0} = 0,\ 0 < \underline{\vartheta} \leq \vartheta_{0,\delta} \leq \overline{\vartheta} \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^3 \text{ and } \vartheta_{0,\delta}|_{\Omega_0} \to \vartheta_0 \text{ in } L^1(\Omega_0). \tag{3.6}$$

Now we are ready to state the weak formulation of the *penalized problem*. Let $\beta > \max\{4, \gamma\}$.

Again, we consider $\varrho, \mathbf{u}$ to be prolonged by zero outside of $(0,T)\times B$. The weak formulation of the continuity equations reads as

$$\int_B \varrho\varphi(\tau,\cdot)\,\mathrm{d}x - \int_B \varrho_{0,\delta}\varphi(0,\cdot)\,\mathrm{d}x = \int_0^\tau \int_B \left(\varrho\partial_t\varphi + \varrho\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla_x\varphi\right)\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t \tag{3.7}$$

for any $\tau \in [0,T]$ and any test function $\varphi \in C_c^\infty([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^3)$. The momentum equation is represented by the family of integral identities

$$\int_B \varrho\mathbf{u}\cdot\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\tau,\cdot)\,\mathrm{d}x - \int_B (\varrho\mathbf{u})_{0,\delta}\cdot\boldsymbol{\varphi}(0,\cdot)\,\mathrm{d}x - \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_0^\tau \int_{\Gamma_t} ((\mathbf{V}-\mathbf{u})\cdot\mathbf{n}\ \boldsymbol{\varphi}\cdot\mathbf{n})\,\mathrm{d}S_x\,\mathrm{d}t \tag{3.8}$$

$$= \int_0^\tau \int_B \left(\varrho\mathbf{u}\cdot\partial_t\boldsymbol{\varphi} + \varrho[\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{u}] : \nabla_x\boldsymbol{\varphi} + p(\varrho,\vartheta)\mathrm{div}_x\boldsymbol{\varphi} + \delta\varrho^\beta\mathrm{div}_x\boldsymbol{\varphi} - \mathbb{S}_\omega : \nabla_x\boldsymbol{\varphi}\right)\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t,$$

$$\text{with} \quad \mathbb{S}_\omega = \mu_\omega\left(\nabla_x\mathbf{u} + \nabla_x^t\mathbf{u} - \frac{2}{3}\mathrm{div}_x\mathbf{u}\mathbb{I}\right)$$

for any $\tau \in [0,T]$ and any test function $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in C_c^\infty([0,T]\times B;\mathbb{R}^3)$.

The thermal energy inequality in the penalized problem is satisfied in the renormalized sense:

$$\int_0^T \int_B (\varrho+\delta)Q_h(\vartheta)\partial_t\varphi + \varrho Q_h(\vartheta)\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla\varphi + \mathcal{K}_{\nu,\xi,h}(t,x,\vartheta)\Delta\varphi + \mathcal{K}_h(\vartheta)\nabla\chi_{\nu,\xi}\cdot\nabla_x\varphi - \delta h(\vartheta)\vartheta^{\alpha+1}\varphi\ \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t \tag{3.9}$$

$$\leq \int_0^T \int_B (h(\vartheta)\vartheta p_\vartheta \mathrm{div}_x\mathbf{u} - h(\vartheta)(1-\delta)\mathbb{S}_\omega : \nabla_x\mathbf{u} + h'(\vartheta)\kappa_{\nu,\xi}(t,x,\vartheta)|\nabla_x\vartheta|^2)\varphi\ \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t + \int_B (\varrho_{0,\delta}+\delta)Q_h(\vartheta_{0,\delta})\varphi(0)\ \mathrm{d}x$$

for any $\varphi \in C^\infty([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^3)$, $\varphi \geq 0$, $\varphi(T) = 0$, $\nabla\varphi\cdot\mathbf{n}|_{\partial B} = 0$ for any $\tau \in [0,T]$ and any $h \in C^\infty([0,\infty))$ such that

$$h(0) > 0, \quad h \text{ nonincreasing on } [0,\infty), \quad \lim_{z\to\infty} h(z) = 0, \quad h''(z)h(z) \geq 2h'(z)^2 \quad \text{for all } z \geq 0, \tag{3.10}$$

where Q_h, $\mathcal{K}_{\nu,\xi,h}$ and $\mathcal{K}_h$ are defined by

$$Q_h = \int_0^\vartheta Q'(z)h(z)\,\mathrm{d}z, \qquad \mathcal{K}_{\nu,\xi,h} = \chi_{\nu,\xi}\int_0^\vartheta \kappa(z)h(z)\,\mathrm{d}z, \qquad \mathcal{K}_h = \int_0^\vartheta \kappa(z)h(z)\,\mathrm{d}z.$$

Finally, the global total energy inequality reads as

$$\int_0^T \int_B (-\partial_t \psi) \left(\varrho \frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{u}|^2 + \varrho P_e(\varrho) + \frac{\delta}{\beta - 1} \varrho^\beta + (\varrho + \delta) Q(\vartheta) \right) \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t + \delta \int_0^T \psi \int_B \mathbb{S}_\omega : \nabla_x \mathbf{u} + \vartheta^{\alpha+1} \, \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t \tag{3.11}$$

$$\leq \int_B \frac{1}{2} \frac{|(\varrho \mathbf{u})_{0,\delta}|^2}{\varrho_{0,\delta}} + \varrho_{0,\delta} P_e(\varrho_{0,\delta}) + \frac{\delta}{\beta - 1} \varrho_{0,\delta}^\beta + (\varrho_{0,\delta} + \delta) Q(\vartheta_{0,\delta}) \, \mathrm{d}x + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_t} \psi \left((\mathbf{V} - \mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{n} \, \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} \right) \mathrm{d}S_x \, \mathrm{d}t$$

for every $\psi \in C^\infty([0,T])$ satisfying

$$\psi(0) = 1, \quad \psi(T) = 0, \quad \partial_t \psi \leq 0.$$

Definition 3.1 *Let $\varepsilon, \delta, \nu, \xi$ and ω be positive parameters and let $\beta > \max\{4, \gamma\}$. We say that a trio $(\varrho, \mathbf{u}, \vartheta)$ is a renormalized solution to the penalized problem with initial data* (3.4)-(3.6) *if*

- $\varrho \in L^\infty(0,T; L^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^\infty(0,T; L^\beta(\mathbb{R}^3))$,
- $\mathbf{u} \in L^2(0,T; W_0^{1,2}(B; \mathbb{R}^3))$, $\varrho \mathbf{u} \in L^\infty(0,T; L^m(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{R}^3))$ *for some* $m > \frac{6}{5}$,
- $\varrho Q(\vartheta) \in L^\infty(0,T; L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^2(0,T; L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))$ *for some* $q > \frac{6}{5}$, $\log \vartheta, \vartheta p_\vartheta(\varrho) \in L^2((0,T) \times B)$, $\mathcal{K}_h(\vartheta) \in L^1((0,T) \times B)$ *for all h as in* (3.10),
- *relations* (3.7)-(3.9) *and* (3.11) *are satisfied.*

The choice of the no-slip boundary condition $\mathbf{u}|_{\partial B} = 0$ is not essential here. We have the following existence theorem concerning weak solutions to the penalized problem.

Theorem 3.1 *Let $\mathbf{V} \in C^1([0,T]; C_c^3(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{R}^3))$ be given. Assume that the pressure satisfies the constitutive equation* (1.6) *with $p_e, p_\vartheta \in C[0,\infty) \cap C^1(0,\infty)$ complying with hypothesis* (2.1), (2.2) *with certain $\gamma > 3/2$. Moreover let $\mathbf{q}$ be given by* (1.5) *with assumption* (2.3), *let hypothesis* (2.4) *be satisfied and let the initial data fulfill* (3.4), (3.5) *and* (3.6). *Finally, let $\beta > \max\{4, \gamma\}$, and $\varepsilon, \omega, \nu, \xi, \delta > 0$.*

Then the penalized problem admits a renormalized solution on any time interval $(0,T)$ in the sense specified by Definition 3.1.

The existence of global-in-time solutions to the penalized problem can be shown by means of the method developed in [5] to handle the nonconstant viscosity coefficients, more precisely see Proposition 4.3 in [5]. Indeed, for $\varepsilon > 0$ fixed, the extra penalty term in (3.8) can be treated as a "compact" perturbation. The presence of terms involving $\chi_{\nu,\xi}(x,t)$ in the thermal energy inequality (3.9) does not cause any additional difficulties since this function is smooth and bounded away from zero.

In addition, since $\beta > 4$, the density is square integrable and we may use the regularization technique of DiPerna and Lions [2] to deduce the renormalized version of (3.7), namely

$$\int_B b(\varrho) \varphi(\tau, \cdot) \, \mathrm{d}x - \int_B b(\varrho_{0,\delta}) \varphi(0, \cdot) \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_0^\tau \int_B \left(b(\varrho) \partial_t \varphi + b(\varrho) \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_x \varphi + (b(\varrho) - b'(\varrho)\varrho) \operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u} \varphi \right) \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t \tag{3.12}$$

for any φ and b as in (2.6).

3.2 Modified energy inequality and uniform bounds

Since the vector field $\mathbf{V}$ vanishes on the boundary ∂B it may be used as a test function in (3.8). Combining the resulting expression with the energy inequality (3.11), we obtain

$$\int_B \left(\frac{1}{2}\varrho|\mathbf{u}|^2 + \varrho P_e(\varrho) + \frac{\delta}{\beta-1}\varrho^\beta + (\varrho+\delta)Q(\vartheta)\right)(\tau,\cdot)\,\mathrm{d}x \tag{3.13}$$

$$+\,\delta\int_0^\tau\int_B \mathbb{S}_\omega : \nabla_x\mathbf{u} + \vartheta^{\alpha+1}\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_0^\tau\int_{\Gamma_t}|(\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{V})\cdot\mathbf{n}|^2\,\mathrm{d}S_x\,\mathrm{d}t$$

$$\le \int_B \left(\frac{1}{2\varrho_{0,\delta}}|(\varrho\mathbf{u})_{0,\delta}|^2 + \varrho_{0,\delta}P_e(\varrho_{0,\delta}) + \frac{\delta}{\beta-1}\varrho_{0,\delta}^\beta + (\varrho_{0,\delta}+\delta)Q(\vartheta_{0,\delta})\right)\mathrm{d}x$$

$$+\int_B\Big((\varrho\mathbf{u}\cdot\mathbf{V})(\tau,\cdot) - (\varrho\mathbf{u})_{0,\delta}\cdot\mathbf{V}(0,\cdot)\Big)\,\mathrm{d}x$$

$$+\int_0^\tau\int_B\left(\mu_\omega\left(\nabla_x\mathbf{u}+\nabla_x^t\mathbf{u}-\frac{2}{3}\mathrm{div}_x\mathbf{u}\mathbb{I}\right):\nabla_x\mathbf{V} - \varrho\mathbf{u}\cdot\partial_t\mathbf{V} - \varrho\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{u}:\nabla_x\mathbf{V} - p(\varrho,\vartheta)\mathrm{div}_x\mathbf{V} - \frac{\delta}{\beta-1}\varrho^\beta\mathrm{div}_x\mathbf{V}\right)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t.$$

Since the vector field $\mathbf{V}$ is regular suitable manipulations with the Hölder, Young and Poincare inequalities and thermodynamical hypothesis yield

$$\int_B \left(\frac{1}{2}\varrho|\mathbf{u}|^2 + \varrho P_e(\varrho) + \frac{\delta}{\beta-1}\varrho^\beta + (\varrho+\delta)Q(\vartheta)\right)(\tau,\cdot)\,\mathrm{d}x + \frac{\delta}{2}\int_0^\tau\int_B \mathbb{S}_\omega : \nabla_x\mathbf{u} \;+\; \vartheta^{\alpha+1}\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t \tag{3.14}$$

$$+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_0^\tau\int_{\Gamma_t}|(\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{V})\cdot\mathbf{n}|^2\,\mathrm{d}S_x\,\mathrm{d}t$$

$$\le \int_B \left(\frac{1}{2\varrho_{0,\delta}}|(\varrho\mathbf{u})_{0,\delta}|^2 + \varrho_{0,\delta}P_e(\varrho_{0,\delta}) + \frac{\delta}{\beta-1}\varrho_{0,\delta}^\beta + (\varrho_{0,\delta}+\delta)Q(\vartheta_{0,\delta})\right)\mathrm{d}x - \int_B(\varrho\mathbf{u})_{0,\delta}\cdot\mathbf{V}(0,\cdot)\,\mathrm{d}x + C(\mathbf{V},\delta).$$

As

$$p_e(\varrho) \le c(1+\varrho P_e(\varrho)) \text{ for all } \varrho \ge 0,$$

relation (3.14) gives rise to the following bounds independent of parameters ε and ξ:

$$\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\in(0,T)}\|\sqrt{\varrho}\mathbf{u}(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2(B;\mathbb{R}^3)} \le c, \tag{3.15}$$

$$\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\in(0,T)}\int_B \varrho P_e(\varrho)(t,\cdot)\,\mathrm{d}x \le c \quad \text{yielding} \quad \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\in(0,T)}\|\varrho(t,\cdot)\|_{L^\gamma(B)} \le c, \tag{3.16}$$

$$\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\in(0,T)}\delta\|\varrho(t,\cdot)\|^\beta_{L^\beta(B)} \le c. \tag{3.17}$$

Since

$$\frac{\mu_\omega}{2}\left|\nabla_x\mathbf{u}+\nabla_x^t\mathbf{u}-\frac{2}{3}\mathrm{div}_x\mathbf{u}\mathbb{I}\right|^2 = \left[\mu_\omega\left(\nabla_x\mathbf{u}+\nabla_x^t\mathbf{u}\right) - \frac{2\mu_\omega}{3}\mathrm{div}_x\mathbf{u}\mathbb{I}\right]:\nabla_x\mathbf{u},$$

we obtain that

$$\int_0^T\int_B \mu_\omega\left|\nabla_x\mathbf{u}+\nabla_x^t\mathbf{u}-\frac{2}{3}\mathrm{div}_x\mathbf{u}\mathbb{I}\right|^2\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t \le c. \tag{3.18}$$

Moreover,

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_t} |(\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{V})\cdot\mathbf{n}|^2 \ \mathrm{dS}_x \ \mathrm{d}t \leq \varepsilon c. \tag{3.19}$$

We note also that the total mass is conserved, meaning

$$\int_B \varrho(\tau,\cdot)\,\mathrm{d}x = \int_B \varrho_{0,\delta}\,\mathrm{d}x = \int_{\Omega_0} \varrho_{0,\delta}\,\mathrm{d}x \leq c \text{ for any } \tau\in[0,T]. \tag{3.20}$$

Thus, relations (3.15), (3.18), (3.20), combined with the generalized version of Korn's inequality (see [8, Theorem 10.17]), imply that

$$\int_0^T \|\mathbf{u}(t,\cdot)\|^2_{W_0^{1,2}(B;\mathbb{R}^3)}\,\mathrm{d}t \leq c(\omega). \tag{3.21}$$

Moreover directly from the energy estimates we get that

$$\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\in(0,T)} \|(\varrho+\delta)Q(\vartheta)\|_{L^1(B)} \leq c, \tag{3.22}$$

$$\|\vartheta\|_{L^{\alpha+1}((0,T)\times B)} \leq c. \tag{3.23}$$

By the proper choice of renormalization function h the same methods as in Section 5.1 [5] gives

$$\|\delta\nabla\log\vartheta\|_{L^2((0,T)\times B)} \leq c, \tag{3.24}$$

$$\|\delta\nabla\vartheta^{\alpha/2}\|_{L^2((0,T)\times B)} \leq c, \tag{3.25}$$

and moreover

$$\|\delta\nabla\vartheta^{\frac{\alpha+1-\lambda}{2}}\|_{L^2((0,T)\times B)} \leq c \quad \text{for any } 0<\lambda<1. \tag{3.26}$$

We emphasise that all constants in (3.15) – (3.26) depend on δ.

3.3 Pressure estimates

We use the technique based on the Bogovskii operator in order to derive the following estimate

$$\int\int_K \Big(\left(p_e(\varrho)+\vartheta p_\vartheta(\varrho)\right)\varrho^\lambda + \delta\varrho^{\beta+\lambda}\Big)\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t \leq c(K) \text{ for a certain } \lambda>0 \tag{3.27}$$

for any compact $K\subset[0,T]\times\overline{B}$ such that

$$K\cap\Big(\cup_{\tau\in[0,T]}\Big(\{\tau\}\times\Gamma_\tau\Big)\Big)=\emptyset.$$

For details we refer reader to [5, Section 4.2] or [10].

4 Singular limits

In this section, we perform successively the singular limits $\varepsilon\to 0$, $\xi\to 0$ $\omega\to 0$, and $\delta\to 0$ with $\nu=\nu(\delta)$.

4.1 Penalization limit

Firstly, we proceed with $\varepsilon \to 0$ in (3.7), (3.8), (3.9) and (3.13) while other parameters ξ, ν, ω and δ remain fixed. Let $\{\varrho_\varepsilon, \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon, \vartheta_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0}$ be the corresponding sequence of renormalized solutions of the penalized problem given by Theorem 3.1. The estimates (3.16), (3.21) together with the equation of continuity (3.7), imply that

$$\varrho_\varepsilon \to \varrho \text{ in } C_{\rm weak}([0,T]; L^\gamma(B)),$$

and, up to a subsequence,

$$\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \to \mathbf{u} \text{ weakly in } L^2(0,T; W_0^{1,2}(B, \mathbb{R}^3)).$$

Directly from (3.19) we derive in the limit as $\varepsilon \to 0$ that

$$(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{V}) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\tau, \cdot)|_{\Gamma_\tau} = 0 \text{ for a.a. } \tau \in [0,T]. \tag{4.1}$$

Consequently, in accordance with (3.15), (3.16) and the compact embedding $L^\gamma(B) \hookrightarrow\hookrightarrow W^{-1,2}(B)$, we obtain

$$\varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \to \varrho \mathbf{u} \text{ weakly-(*) in } L^\infty(0,T; L^{2\gamma/(\gamma+1)}(B; \mathbb{R}^3)), \tag{4.2}$$

and, due to the embedding $W_0^{1,2}(B) \hookrightarrow L^6(B)$,

$$\varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \otimes \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \to \overline{\varrho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}} \text{ weakly in } L^2(0,T; L^{6\gamma/(4\gamma+3)}(B; \mathbb{R}^3)),$$

where the bar denotes a weak limit of a composed function.

Finally we deduce from (3.8) that

$$\varrho_\varepsilon \mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \to \varrho \mathbf{u} \text{ in } C_{\rm weak}([T_1, T_2]; L^{2\gamma/(\gamma+1)}(O; \mathbb{R}^3))$$

for any space-time cylinder

$$(T_1, T_2) \times O \subset [0,T] \times B, \ [T_1, T_2] \times \overline{O} \cap \cup_{\tau \in [0,T]} (\{\tau\} \times \Gamma_\tau) = \emptyset.$$

Since $L^{2\gamma/(\gamma+1)}(B) \hookrightarrow\hookrightarrow W^{-1,2}(B)$, we conclude that

$$\overline{\varrho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}} = \varrho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u} \text{ a.a. in } (0,T) \times B.$$

4.1.1 Strong convergence of temperature

By assumption (2.4)

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla Q(\vartheta_\varepsilon)\|^2_{L^2((0,T)\times B)} &\leq C \left(\int_{(0,T)\times B} |\nabla \vartheta_\varepsilon|^2 \,{\rm d}x{\rm d}t + \int_{(0,T)\times B} |\nabla \vartheta_\varepsilon^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}|^2 \,{\rm d}x{\rm d}t \right) \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{\{\vartheta_\varepsilon < 1\}} \left| \frac{\nabla \vartheta_\varepsilon}{\vartheta_\varepsilon} \right|^2 \,{\rm d}x{\rm d}t + \int_{\{\vartheta_\varepsilon \geq 1\}} |\nabla \vartheta_\varepsilon|^2 |\vartheta_\varepsilon|^{\alpha-2} \,{\rm d}x{\rm d}t + \int_{(0,T)\times B} |\nabla \vartheta_\varepsilon^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}|^2 \,{\rm d}x{\rm d}t \right) \\ &\leq C(\delta) \left(\|\nabla \log \vartheta_\varepsilon\|^2_{L^2((0,T)\times B)} + \|\nabla \vartheta_\varepsilon^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\|^2_{L^2((0,T)\times B)} \right) \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

and, with help of (3.24) and (3.25), we get

$$\|Q(\vartheta_\varepsilon)\|_{L^2(0,T; W^{1,2}(B))} \leq c, \tag{4.4}$$

consequently,

$$Q(\vartheta_\varepsilon) \to \overline{Q(\vartheta)} \text{ weakly in } L^2(0,T;W^{1,2}(B)). \tag{4.5}$$

Next, according to Lemma 6.3 in [4] applied to $(\delta+\varrho_\varepsilon)Q(\vartheta_\varepsilon)$ we obtain

$$(\delta+\varrho_\varepsilon)Q(\vartheta_\varepsilon) \to (\delta+\varrho)\overline{Q(\vartheta)} \text{ strongly in } L^2(0,T;W^{-1,2}(B)). \tag{4.6}$$

Similarly as in Subsection 7.3.6 in [4] one gets

$$\vartheta_\varepsilon \to \vartheta \text{ strongly in } L^2((0,T)\times\Omega). \tag{4.7}$$

4.1.2 Pointwise convergence of density

Next, we show pointwise convergence of the sequence $\{\varrho_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0}$. We define

$$p_\delta(\varrho,\vartheta) = p(\varrho,\vartheta) + \delta\varrho^\beta,\ T_k(\varrho) = \min\{\varrho, k\}.$$

Similarly as in [5] we establish the effective viscouse pressure identity:

$$\overline{p_\delta(\varrho,\vartheta)T_k(\varrho)} - \overline{p_\delta(\varrho,\vartheta)}\ \overline{T_k(\varrho)} = \frac{4}{3}\mu_\omega\left(\overline{T_k(\varrho)\mathrm{div}_x\mathbf{u}} - \overline{T_k(\varrho)}\mathrm{div}_x\mathbf{u}\right), \tag{4.8}$$

which holds only on compact sets $K\subset[0,T]\times B$ satisfying

$$K\cap\left(\cup_{\tau\in[0,T]}\Big(\{\tau\}\times\Gamma_\tau\Big)\right) = \emptyset.$$

Following [5], we introduce the *oscillations defect measure*

$$\mathbf{osc}_q[\varrho_\varepsilon\to\varrho](K) = \sup_{k\geq 0}\left(\limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_K |T_k(\varrho_\varepsilon)-T_k(\varrho)|^q\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t\right),$$

and use (4.8) to conclude that

$$\mathbf{osc}_{\gamma+1}[\varrho_\varepsilon\to\varrho](K)\leq c(\omega)<\infty, \tag{4.9}$$

where the constant c is *independent* of K. Thus

$$\mathbf{osc}_{\gamma+1}[\varrho_\varepsilon\to\varrho]([0,T]\times B)\leq c(\omega), \tag{4.10}$$

which implies, by virtue of the procedure developed in [4], the desired conclusion

$$\varrho_\varepsilon\to\varrho \text{ a.e. in } (0,T)\times B. \tag{4.11}$$

4.1.3 Passing to the limit with ε

Passing to the limit in (3.7) we obtain

$$\int_B \varrho\varphi(\tau,\cdot)\,\mathrm{d}x - \int_B \varrho_{0,\delta}\varphi(0,\cdot)\,\mathrm{d}x = \int_0^\tau\int_B \left(\varrho\partial_t\varphi + \varrho\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla_x\varphi\right)\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t \tag{4.12}$$

for any $\tau\in[0,T]$ and any $\varphi\in C_c^\infty([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^3)$.

The limit in the momentum equation (3.8) is more delicate. Since we have at hand only the *local estimates* (3.27) on the pressure, we have to restrict ourselves to the class of test functions

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in C^1([0,T]; W_0^{1,\infty}(B;\mathbb{R}^3)),\ \mathrm{supp}[\mathrm{div}_x \boldsymbol{\varphi}(\tau,\cdot)] \cap \Gamma_\tau = \emptyset,\ \boldsymbol{\varphi}\cdot\mathbf{n}|_{\Gamma_\tau} = 0 \text{ for all } \tau \in [0,T]. \tag{4.13}$$

In accordance with (4.11) and (3.27), the momentum equation reads

$$\int_B \varrho\mathbf{u}\cdot\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\tau,\cdot)\,\mathrm{d}x - \int_B (\varrho\mathbf{u})_{0,\delta}\cdot\boldsymbol{\varphi}(0,\cdot)\,\mathrm{d}x \tag{4.14}$$

$$= \int_0^\tau \int_B \left(\varrho\mathbf{u}\cdot\partial_t\boldsymbol{\varphi} + \varrho[\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{u}] : \nabla_x\boldsymbol{\varphi} + p(\varrho,\vartheta)\mathrm{div}_x\boldsymbol{\varphi} + \delta\varrho^\beta \mathrm{div}_x\boldsymbol{\varphi} - \mu_\omega\left(\nabla_x\mathbf{u} + \nabla_x^t\mathbf{u} - \frac{2}{3}\mathrm{div}_x\mathbf{u}\mathbb{I}\right) : \nabla_x\boldsymbol{\varphi}\right)\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t$$

for any test function $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ as in (4.13). In addition, as already observed, the limit solution $\{\varrho,\mathbf{u}\}$ satisfies also the renormalized equation (3.12). The strong convergence of the temperature (4.7) is sufficient for the non-linear terms in thermal energy equation to pass to their limits counterparts. In particular:

$$\varrho_\varepsilon Q(\vartheta_\varepsilon) \to \varrho Q(\vartheta) \text{ weakly in } L^2(0,T;L^{q_1}(B)) \text{ with } q_1 = \frac{6\gamma}{6+\gamma}$$

and

$$\varrho_\varepsilon Q(\vartheta_\varepsilon)\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \to \varrho Q(\vartheta)\mathbf{u} \text{ weakly in } L^2(0,T;L^{q_2}(B)) \text{ with } q_2 = \frac{6\gamma}{3+4\gamma}.$$

Moreover, if p_ϑ satisfies hypothesis (2.2) we have

$$\vartheta_\varepsilon p_\vartheta(\varrho_\varepsilon)\mathrm{div}_x\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \to \vartheta p_\vartheta(\varrho)\mathrm{div}_x\mathbf{u} \text{ weakly in } L^1((0,T)\times B)$$

(in order to provide it one may use (3.25) and additional information from artificial pressure) and since h satisfies (3.10),

$$h(\vartheta_\varepsilon)\vartheta_\varepsilon p_\vartheta(\varrho_\varepsilon)\mathrm{div}_x\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon \to h(\vartheta)\vartheta p_\vartheta(\varrho)\mathrm{div}_x\mathbf{u} \text{ weakly in } L^1((0,T)\times B).$$

Due to the convexity of the function

$$[\mathbb{M},\vartheta] \mapsto \begin{cases} h(\vartheta)\left(\frac{\mu}{2}\mathbb{M} : \mathbb{M} + \lambda(\mathrm{tr}[\mathbb{M}])^2\right) \text{ if } \vartheta \geq 0,\ \mathbb{M}\in\mathbb{R}^{3\times 3} \\ \infty \text{ if } \vartheta < 0 \end{cases}$$

and [4, Lemma 4.8], we get

$$\int_0^T\int_B h(\vartheta)\mathbb{S}_\omega(\nabla_x\mathbf{u}) : \nabla_x\mathbf{u}\varphi\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t \leq \liminf_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_0^T\int_B h(\vartheta_\varepsilon)\mathbb{S}_\omega(\nabla_x\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon) : \nabla_x\mathbf{u}_\varepsilon\varphi\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t \tag{4.15}$$

where φ is as in (3.9). In order to treat the most-right term in (3.9) we apply [4, Corollary 2.2]. Let us set

$$\int_0^T\int_B \chi_{\nu,\xi}\kappa(\vartheta_\varepsilon)(-h'(\vartheta_\varepsilon))|\nabla\vartheta_\varepsilon|^2\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t = \int_0^T\int_B |\sqrt{\chi_{\nu,\xi}}G'(\vartheta_\varepsilon)\nabla\vartheta_\varepsilon|^2\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t \quad \text{with } G'(z) = \sqrt{\kappa(z)(-h'(z))}. \tag{4.16}$$

The sequence $\chi_{\nu,\xi}\nabla G(\vartheta_\varepsilon)$ is uniformly bounded in $L^{1+\lambda}((0,T)\times B)$ for certain $\lambda > 0$ and, consequently, $\sqrt{\chi_{\nu,\xi}}\nabla G(\vartheta_\varepsilon) \to \sqrt{\chi_{\nu,\xi}}\nabla\overline{G(\vartheta)}$ weakly in $L^1((0,T)\times B)$. Due to a.e. convergence of $\vartheta_\varepsilon \to \vartheta$ we have $\sqrt{\chi_{\nu,\xi}}\sqrt{\kappa(\vartheta_\varepsilon)(-h'(\vartheta_\varepsilon))} \to$

$\sqrt{\chi_{\nu,\xi}}\sqrt{\kappa(\vartheta)(-h'(\vartheta))}$ strongly in L^2. Thus, as $\nabla\vartheta_\varepsilon \to \nabla\vartheta$ weakly in L^2, we get $\sqrt{\chi_{\nu,\xi}}\nabla G(\vartheta_\varepsilon) \to \sqrt{\chi_{\nu,\xi}}\nabla G(\vartheta)$ weakly in $L^1((0,T)\times B)$. Then convexity of $\Phi(\cdot) = |\cdot|^2$ and [4, Corollary 2.2] provide

$$\int_0^T \int_B \chi_{\nu,\xi}\kappa(\vartheta)(-h'(\vartheta))|\nabla\vartheta|^2\varphi \,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t \leq \liminf_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_0^T \int_B \chi_{\nu,\xi}\kappa(\vartheta_\varepsilon)(-h'(\vartheta_\varepsilon))|\nabla\vartheta_\varepsilon|^2\varphi \,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t, \tag{4.17}$$

where φ is as in (3.9). Since ϑ_ε is uniformly bounded in $L^{\alpha+1}$ and due to properties of h, the sequence $h(\vartheta_\varepsilon)\vartheta_\varepsilon^{\alpha+1}$ is uniformly integrable in L^1 (see [4, Proposition 2.1]). Then a.e. convergence of ϑ_ε provides

$$\int_0^T \int_B h(\vartheta_\varepsilon)\vartheta_\varepsilon^{\alpha+1}\varphi \,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t \to \int_0^T \int_B h(\vartheta)\vartheta^{\alpha+1}\varphi \,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \to 0.$$

By the same token

$$\int_0^T \int_B \mathcal{K}_{\nu,\xi,h}(\vartheta_\varepsilon)\Delta\varphi \,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t \to \int_0^T \int_B \mathcal{K}_{\nu,\xi,h}(\vartheta)\Delta\varphi \,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \to 0,$$

and also

$$\int_0^T \int_B \mathcal{K}_h(\vartheta_\varepsilon)\nabla\chi_{\nu,\xi}\cdot\nabla\varphi \,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t \to \int_0^T \int_B \mathcal{K}_h(\vartheta)\nabla\chi_{\nu,\xi}\cdot\nabla\varphi \,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \to 0,$$

where φ is as in (3.9). Thus, the renormalized thermal energy inequality (3.9) takes in the limit the same form.

Finally, we obtain the following energy inequality when passing $\varepsilon \to 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_B \left(\varrho\frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{u}|^2 + \varrho P_e(\varrho) + \frac{\delta}{\beta-1}\varrho^\beta + (\varrho+\delta)Q(\vartheta)\right)(\tau)\,\mathrm{d}x + \delta\int_0^\tau\int_B \mathbb{S}_\omega : \nabla_x\mathbf{u} + \vartheta^{\alpha+1}\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t \\
&\leq \int_B \frac{1}{2}\frac{|(\varrho\mathbf{u})_{0,\delta}|^2}{\varrho_{0,\delta}} + \varrho_{0,\delta}P_e(\varrho_{0,\delta}) + \frac{\delta}{\beta-1}\varrho_{0,\delta}^\beta + (\varrho_{0,\delta}+\delta)Q(\vartheta_{0,\delta})\,\mathrm{d}x + \int_B \Big((\varrho\mathbf{u}\cdot\mathbf{V})(\tau,\cdot) - (\varrho\mathbf{u})_{0,\delta}\cdot\mathbf{V}(0,\cdot)\Big)\,\mathrm{d}x \\
&+\int_0^\tau\int_B \left(\mu_\omega\left(\nabla_x\mathbf{u} + \nabla_x^t\mathbf{u} - \frac{2}{3}\mathrm{div}_x\mathbf{u}\mathbb{I}\right) : \nabla_x\mathbf{V} - \varrho\mathbf{u}\cdot\partial_t\mathbf{V} - \varrho\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{u} : \nabla_x\mathbf{V} - p(\varrho,\vartheta)\mathrm{div}_x\mathbf{V} - \frac{\delta}{\beta-1}\varrho^\beta\mathrm{div}_x\mathbf{V}\right)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

4.1.4 Fundamental lemma and extending the class of test functions

Our next goal is to use the specific choice of the initial data $\varrho_{0,\delta}$ to get rid of the density-dependent terms in (4.14) supported by the "solid" part $((0,T)\times B)\setminus Q_T$. To this end, we proceed the same way as in the barotropic case, more precisely we use [7, Lemma 4.1].

Lemma 4.1 *Let $\varrho \in L^\infty(0,T;L^2(B))$, $\varrho \geq 0$, $\mathbf{u} \in L^2(0,T;W_0^{1,2}(B;\mathbb{R}^3))$ be a weak solution of the equation of continuity, specifically,*

$$\int_B \Big(\varrho(\tau,\cdot)\varphi(\tau,\cdot) - \varrho_0\varphi(0,\cdot)\Big)\,\mathrm{d}x = \int_0^\tau\int_B \Big(\varrho\partial_t\varphi + \varrho\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla_x\varphi\Big)\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t \tag{4.19}$$

for any $\tau \in [0,T]$ and any test function $\varphi \in C_c^1([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^3)$.

In addition, assume that

$$(\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{V})(\tau,\cdot)\cdot\mathbf{n}|_{\Gamma_\tau} = 0 \text{ for a.a. } \tau \in (0,T), \tag{4.20}$$

and that

$$\varrho_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3),\ \varrho_0 \geq 0,\ \varrho_0|_{B\setminus\Omega_0} = 0.$$

Then

$$\varrho(\tau,\cdot)|_{B\setminus\Omega_\tau} = 0 \text{ for any } \tau \in [0,T].$$

By virtue of Lemma 4.1, the momentum equation (4.14) reduces to

$$\int_{\Omega_\tau} \varrho\mathbf{u}\cdot\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\tau,\cdot)\,\mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Omega_0} (\varrho\mathbf{u})_{0,\delta}\cdot\boldsymbol{\varphi}(0,\cdot)\,\mathrm{d}x \tag{4.21}$$

$$= \int_0^\tau \int_{\Omega_t} \left(\varrho\mathbf{u}\cdot\partial_t\boldsymbol{\varphi} + \varrho[\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{u}] : \nabla_x\boldsymbol{\varphi} + p(\varrho,\vartheta)\mathrm{div}_x\boldsymbol{\varphi} + \delta\varrho^\beta \mathrm{div}_x\boldsymbol{\varphi} - \mu_\omega\left(\nabla_x\mathbf{u} + \nabla_x^t\mathbf{u} - \frac{2}{3}\mathrm{div}_x\mathbf{u}\mathbb{I}\right) : \nabla_x\boldsymbol{\varphi}\right)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t$$

$$- \int_0^\tau \int_{B\setminus\Omega_t} \mu_\omega\left(\nabla_x\mathbf{u} + \nabla_x^t\mathbf{u} - \frac{2}{3}\mathrm{div}_x\mathbf{u}\mathbb{I}\right) : \nabla_x\boldsymbol{\varphi}\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t$$

for any test function $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ as in (4.13). We remark that in this step we crucially need the extra pressure term $\delta\varrho^\beta$ ensuring the density ϱ to be square integrable.

Next, we argue the same way as in [7, Section 4.3.1] to conclude, that the momentum equation (4.21) holds in fact for any test function $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ such that

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi} \in C_c^\infty([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^3;\mathbb{R}^3), \qquad \boldsymbol{\varphi}(\tau,\cdot)\cdot\mathbf{n}|_{\Gamma_\tau} = 0 \quad \text{for any } \tau\in[0,T]. \tag{4.22}$$

4.2 The discontinuous heat conductivity coefficient

The aim of this section is to proceed to a limit with $\xi\to 0$. In other words we pass from a smooth function $\chi_{\nu,\xi}$ presented in (3.3) to a jump function χ_ν. We denote by $\mathbf{u}_\xi$, ϑ_ξ and ϱ_ξ the sequences of functions satisfying relations (4.12), (4.21), (3.9) and (4.18) which were constructed in a previous section. To pass to the limit $\xi\to 0$ we use the a priori estimates from Section 3.2 to conclude that there exist ϱ, $\mathbf{u}$ and ϑ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varrho_\xi \to \varrho \text{ in } C_{\mathrm{weak}}([0,T];L^\gamma(B)) \cap C_{\mathrm{weak}}([0,T];L^\beta(B)),\\
&\mathbf{u}_\xi \to \mathbf{u} \text{ weakly in } L^2(0,T;W_0^{1,2}(B,\mathbb{R}^3)),\\
&\vartheta_\xi \to \vartheta \text{ strongly in } L^2((0,T)\times B).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

Using the same procedures as in Section 4.1 we pass to the limit in most of the terms in the equations and inequalities (4.12), (4.21), (3.9) and (4.18). We treat in detail only the terms directly involving $\chi_{\nu,\xi}$.

Firstly, we consider the term

$$I_\xi := \int_0^T\int_B \chi_{\nu,\xi}\mathcal{K}_h(\vartheta_\xi)\Delta\varphi\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t + \mathcal{K}_h(\vartheta_\xi)\nabla\chi_{\nu,\xi}\cdot\nabla\varphi\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t,$$

with φ as for (3.9). By integration by parts we derive

$$-I_\xi = \int_0^T\int_B \chi_{\nu,\xi}\nabla\mathcal{K}_h(\vartheta_\xi)\cdot\nabla\varphi\ \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t = \int_0^T\int_B \chi_{\nu,\xi}\kappa(\vartheta_\xi)h(\vartheta_\xi)\nabla\vartheta_\xi\cdot\nabla\varphi\ \mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t.$$

As a corollary of (3.22), (3.23), (3.26) and $(4.23)_3$ we get (up to a subsequence)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\vartheta_\xi \to \vartheta \ \text{ weakly in } \ L^2(0,T;W^{1,2}(B)),\\
&\vartheta_\xi \to \vartheta \ \text{ strongly in } \ L^p(0,T;L^p(B)) \ \text{ for all } \ p\in[1,\alpha+1),\\
&\vartheta_\xi^{\frac{\alpha}{2}+\frac{5}{12}} \to \vartheta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}+\frac{5}{12}} \ \text{ weakly in } \ L^2(0,T;W^{1,2}(B)),
\end{aligned}$$

and also

$$\chi_{\nu,\xi}(t,x)\frac{\kappa(\vartheta_\xi)h(\vartheta_\xi)}{1+\vartheta_\xi^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{7}{12}}} \to \chi_\nu(t,x)\frac{\kappa(\vartheta)h(\vartheta)}{1+\vartheta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{7}{12}}} \quad \text{strongly in } L^2((0,T)\times B). \tag{4.24}$$

Indeed, if we denote $f_\xi = \chi_{\nu,\xi}(t,x)\frac{\kappa(\vartheta_\xi)h(\vartheta_\xi)}{1+\vartheta_\xi^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{7}{12}}}$ and $f = \chi_\nu(t,x)\frac{\kappa(\vartheta)h(\vartheta)}{1+\vartheta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{7}{12}}}$, it follows that $f_\xi \to f$ almost everywhere. Further, using interpolation between (3.22) and (3.26) we get

$$\|\vartheta_\xi\|_{L^{\alpha+\frac{3}{2}}((0,T)\times B)} \le c$$

and $f_\xi \in L^{2+\frac{1}{\frac{\alpha}{2}\alpha+\frac{7}{4}}}((0,T)\times B)$ uniformly. Consequently, $|f_\xi|^2$ is uniformly integrable and from the Vitali convergence theorem we get (4.24).
Finally, we have

$$\chi_{\nu,\xi}(t,x)\kappa(\vartheta_\xi)h(\vartheta_\xi)\nabla\vartheta_\xi = \frac{\chi_{\nu,\xi}(t,x)\kappa(\vartheta_\xi)h(\vartheta_\xi)}{1+\vartheta_\xi^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{7}{12}}}\left(\nabla\vartheta_\xi + \frac{1}{\frac{\alpha}{2}+\frac{5}{12}}\nabla(\vartheta_\xi^{\frac{\alpha}{2}+\frac{5}{12}})\right) =: a_\xi b_\xi.$$

Since a_ξ tends strongly to $\frac{\chi_\nu(t,x)\kappa(\vartheta)h(\vartheta)}{1+\vartheta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{7}{12}}}$ in $L^2((0,T)\times B)$ and b_ξ tends to $\left(\nabla\vartheta + \frac{1}{\frac{\alpha}{2}+\frac{5}{12}}\nabla(\vartheta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}+\frac{5}{12}})\right)$ weakly in $L^2((0,T)\times B)$, we get

$$\chi_{\nu,\xi}(t,x)\kappa(\vartheta_\xi)h(\vartheta_\xi)\nabla\vartheta_\xi \to \chi_\nu(t,x)\kappa(\vartheta)h(\vartheta)\nabla\vartheta \ \text{ in } \ \mathcal{D}'((0,T)\times B).$$

We conclude, that for φ such that $\nabla\varphi\cdot\mathbf{n}|_{\Gamma_t} = 0$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_\xi \to -\int_0^T\int_B \chi_\nu\nabla\mathcal{K}_h(\vartheta)\nabla\varphi\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t &= -\int_0^T\int_{\Omega_t}\chi_\nu\nabla\mathcal{K}_h(\vartheta)\nabla\varphi\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t - \int_0^T\int_{B\setminus\Omega_t}\chi_\nu\nabla\mathcal{K}_h(\vartheta)\nabla\varphi\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t \\ &= \int_0^T\int_{\Omega_t}\chi_\nu\mathcal{K}_h(\vartheta)\Delta\varphi\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t + \int_0^T\int_{B\setminus\Omega_t}\chi_\nu\mathcal{K}_h(\vartheta)\Delta\varphi\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t = \int_0^T\int_B\chi_\nu\mathcal{K}_h(\vartheta)\Delta\varphi\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t \\ &= \int_0^T\int_B \mathcal{K}_{\nu,h}(\vartheta)\Delta\varphi\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to handle the term

$$\int_0^T\int_B \chi_{\nu,\xi}\kappa(\vartheta_\xi)h'(\vartheta_\xi)|\nabla_x\vartheta_\xi|^2\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t.$$

However, since $\chi_{\nu,\xi}\to\chi_\nu$ a.e in B as $\xi\to 0$, we may proceed similarly as in (4.16) and (4.17).

Hence, after passing with $\xi\to 0$ the thermal energy inequality has the form

$$\int_0^T\int_B (\varrho+\delta)Q_h(\vartheta)\partial_t\varphi + \varrho Q_h(\vartheta)\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla\varphi + \mathcal{K}_{\nu,h}(t,x,\vartheta)\Delta\varphi - \delta h(\vartheta)\vartheta^{\alpha+1}\varphi\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t \tag{4.25}$$

$$\le \int_0^T\int_B (h(\vartheta)\vartheta p_\vartheta \mathrm{div}_x\mathbf{u} - h(\vartheta)(1-\delta)\mathbb{S}_\omega : \nabla_x\mathbf{u} + h'(\vartheta)\kappa_\nu(t,x,\vartheta)|\nabla_x\vartheta|^2)\varphi\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t + \int_B(\varrho_{0,\delta}+\delta)Q(\vartheta_{0,\delta})\varphi(0)\,\mathrm{d}x$$

for any $\varphi\in C^\infty([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^3)$, $\varphi\ge 0$, $\varphi(T)=0$, $\nabla\varphi\cdot\mathbf{n}|_{\partial B}=0$, $\nabla\varphi\cdot\mathbf{n}|_{\Gamma_\tau}=0$ for any $\tau\in[0,T]$ and any $h\in C^\infty([0,\infty))$ such that (3.10) holds.

The equation of continuity (4.12), momentum equation (4.21) and the global total energy inequality (4.18) remain in the same forms.

4.3 Vanishing viscosity limit

In this section we let $\omega \to 0$ in order to get rid of the last integral in (4.21). Let $\{\varrho_\omega, \mathbf{u}_\omega, \vartheta_\omega\}_{\omega>0}$ be the solution constructed in the previous section. Let us recall that the viscosity coefficient has the following form (see (3.1), (3.2))

$$\mu_\omega = \begin{cases} \mu = \text{const} > 0 \text{ in } Q_T, \\ \\ \mu_\omega \to 0 \text{ a.e. in } ((0,T) \times B) \setminus Q_T. \end{cases}$$

From (3.18) we deduce that

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega_t} \left| \nabla_x \mathbf{u}_\omega + \nabla_x^t \mathbf{u}_\omega - \frac{2}{3} \mathrm{div}_x \mathbf{u}_\omega \mathbb{I} \right|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t \leq c \tag{4.26}$$

and

$$\int_0^T \int_{B \setminus \Omega_t} \mu_\omega \left| \nabla_x \mathbf{u}_\omega + \nabla_x^t \mathbf{u}_\omega - \frac{2}{3} \mathrm{div}_x \mathbf{u}_\omega \mathbb{I} \right|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t \leq c.$$

The last estimate yields

$$\int_0^\tau \int_{B \setminus \Omega_t} \mu_\omega \left(\nabla_x \mathbf{u}_\omega + \nabla_x^t \mathbf{u}_\omega - \frac{2}{3} \mathrm{div}_x \mathbf{u}_\omega \mathbb{I} \right) : \nabla_x \boldsymbol{\varphi} \,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t =$$

$$\int_0^\tau \int_{B \setminus \Omega_t} \sqrt{\mu_\omega} \sqrt{\mu_\omega} \left(\nabla_x \mathbf{u}_\omega + \nabla_x^t \mathbf{u}_\omega - \frac{2}{3} \mathrm{div}_x \mathbf{u}_\omega \mathbb{I} \right) : \nabla_x \boldsymbol{\varphi} \,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t \to 0 \text{ as } \omega \to 0$$

for any fixed $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$.

As we know from Lemma 4.1, the density ϱ_ω is supported by the "fluid" region Q_T. We use (3.15), (4.26) and Korn's inequality to infer

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega_t} |\nabla_x \mathbf{u}_\omega|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t \leq c.$$

By the arguments of Section 4.1, we let $\omega \to 0$ and obtain the same form of the continuity equation (4.12). The momentum equation takes the form

$$\int_{\Omega_\tau} \varrho \mathbf{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varphi}(\tau, \cdot) \,\mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Omega_0} (\varrho \mathbf{u})_{0,\delta} \boldsymbol{\varphi}(0, \cdot) \,\mathrm{d}x \tag{4.27}$$

$$= \int_0^\tau \int_{\Omega_t} \Big(\varrho \mathbf{u} \cdot \partial_t \boldsymbol{\varphi} + \varrho [\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}] : \nabla_x \boldsymbol{\varphi} + p(\varrho, \vartheta) \mathrm{div}_x \boldsymbol{\varphi} + \delta \varrho^\beta \mathrm{div}_x \boldsymbol{\varphi} - \mathbb{S}(\nabla_x \mathbf{u}) : \nabla_x \boldsymbol{\varphi} \Big) \,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t$$

for any test function $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ as in (4.22). We would like to emphasise that the compactness of the density is necessary only in the "fluid" part Q_T so a possible loss of regularity of $\mathbf{u}_\omega$ outside Q_T is irrelevant.

The thermal energy equation (4.25) can be written in a form

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^T\int_{\Omega_t}(\varrho_\omega+\delta)Q_h(\vartheta_\omega)\partial_t\varphi+\mathcal{K}_{\nu,h}(\vartheta_\omega)\Delta\varphi-\delta h(\vartheta_\omega)\vartheta_\omega^{\alpha+1}\varphi+\varrho_\omega Q_h(\vartheta_\omega)\mathbf{u}_\omega\cdot\nabla\varphi\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t\\ +\int_0^T\int_{B\setminus\Omega_t}\delta Q_h(\vartheta_\omega)\partial_t\varphi+\mathcal{K}_{\nu,h}(\vartheta_\omega)\Delta\varphi-\delta h(\vartheta_\omega)\vartheta_\omega^{\alpha+1}\varphi\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t\\ \leq\int_0^T\int_{\Omega_t}\left(h(\vartheta_\omega)\vartheta_\omega p_\vartheta\mathrm{div}_x\mathbf{u}_\omega+h(\vartheta_\omega)(\delta-1)\mathbb{S}_\omega:\nabla_x\mathbf{u}_\omega+h'(\vartheta_\omega)\kappa_\nu(\vartheta_\omega)|\nabla_x\vartheta_\omega|^2\right)\varphi\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t\\ +\int_0^T\int_{B\setminus\Omega_t}\left(h(\vartheta_\omega)(\delta-1)\mathbb{S}_\omega:\nabla_x\mathbf{u}_\omega+h'(\vartheta_\omega)\kappa_\nu(\vartheta_\omega)|\nabla_x\vartheta_\omega|^2\right)\varphi\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t+\int_B(\varrho_{0,\delta}+\delta)Q(\vartheta_{0,\delta})\varphi(0)\,\mathrm{d}x\end{aligned}\tag{4.28}$$

for any $\varphi\in C^\infty([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^3)$, $\varphi\geq 0$, $\varphi(T)=0$, $\nabla\varphi\cdot\mathbf{n}|_{\partial B}=0$, $\nabla\varphi\cdot\mathbf{n}|_{\Gamma_\tau}=0$ for any $\tau\in[0,T]$ and any $h\in C^\infty([0,\infty))$ such that (3.10) holds. Note, that the last integral on the right hand side is negative and thus can be omitted.

By the same arguments as in Section 4.1 we get after passing with ω to zero

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^T\int_{\Omega_t}(\varrho+\delta)Q_h(\vartheta)\partial_t\varphi+\mathcal{K}_{\nu,h}(\vartheta)\Delta\varphi-\delta h(\vartheta)\vartheta^{\alpha+1}\varphi+\varrho Q_h(\vartheta)\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla\varphi\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t\\ +\int_0^T\int_{B\setminus\Omega_t}\delta Q_h(\vartheta)\partial_t\varphi+\mathcal{K}_{\nu,h}(\vartheta)\Delta\varphi-\delta h(\vartheta)\vartheta^{\alpha+1}\varphi\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t\\ \leq\int_0^T\int_{\Omega_t}\left(h(\vartheta)\vartheta p_\vartheta\mathrm{div}_x\mathbf{u}+h(\vartheta)(\delta-1)\mathbb{S}:\nabla_x\mathbf{u}+h'(\vartheta)\kappa_\nu(\vartheta)|\nabla_x\vartheta|^2\right)\varphi\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t+\int_B(\varrho_{0,\delta}+\delta)Q(\vartheta_{0,\delta})\varphi(0)\,\mathrm{d}x.\end{aligned}\tag{4.29}$$

The total energy inequality (4.18) can be written in the following way

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\Omega_\tau}\left(\varrho_\omega\frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{u}_\omega|^2+\varrho_\omega P_e(\varrho_\omega)+\frac{\delta}{\beta-1}\varrho_\omega^\beta+(\varrho_\omega+\delta)Q(\vartheta_\omega)\right)(\tau,\cdot)\,\mathrm{d}x+\int_{B\setminus\Omega_\tau}\delta Q(\vartheta_\omega(\tau,\cdot))\,\mathrm{d}x\\ +\delta\int_0^\tau\int_{\Omega_t}\mathbb{S}_\omega:\nabla_x\mathbf{u}_\omega+\vartheta_\omega^{\alpha+1}\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t+\int_0^\tau\int_{B\setminus\Omega_t}\delta\left(\mathbb{S}_\omega:\nabla_x\mathbf{u}_\omega+\vartheta_\omega^{\alpha+1}\right)\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t\\ \leq\int_{\Omega_0}\frac{1}{2}\frac{|(\varrho\mathbf{u})_{0,\delta}|^2}{\varrho_{0,\delta}}+\varrho_{0,\delta}P_e(\varrho_{0,\delta})+\frac{\delta}{\beta-1}\varrho_{0,\delta}^\beta+(\varrho_{0,\delta}+\delta)Q(\vartheta_{0,\delta})\,\mathrm{d}x+\int_{\Omega_\tau}(\varrho_\omega\mathbf{u}_\omega\cdot\mathbf{V})(\tau,\cdot)\,\mathrm{d}x-\int_{\Omega_0}(\varrho\mathbf{u})_{0,\delta}\cdot\mathbf{V}(0,\cdot)\,\mathrm{d}x\\ +\int_0^\tau\int_{\Omega_t}\left(\mu_\omega\left(\nabla_x\mathbf{u}_\omega+\nabla_x^t\mathbf{u}_\omega-\frac{2}{3}\mathrm{div}_x\mathbf{u}_\omega\mathbb{I}\right):\nabla_x\mathbf{V}-\varrho_\omega\mathbf{u}_\omega\cdot\partial_t\mathbf{V}-\varrho_\omega\mathbf{u}_\omega\otimes\mathbf{u}_\omega:\nabla_x\mathbf{V}-p(\varrho_\omega,\vartheta_\omega)\mathrm{div}_x\mathbf{V}\right.\\ \left.-\frac{\delta}{\beta-1}\varrho_\omega^\beta\mathrm{div}_x\mathbf{V}\right)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t+\int_{B\setminus\Omega_0}\delta Q(\vartheta_{0,\delta})\,\mathrm{d}x+\int_0^\tau\int_{B\setminus\Omega_t}\mu_\omega\left(\nabla_x\mathbf{u}_\omega+\nabla_x^t\mathbf{u}_\omega-\frac{2}{3}\mathrm{div}_x\mathbf{u}_\omega\mathbb{I}\right):\nabla_x\mathbf{V}\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t.\end{aligned}\tag{4.30}$$

On the right hand side we pass to the limit in the same way as in the momentum equation. The term $\int_0^\tau\int_{B\setminus\Omega_t}\delta\mathbb{S}_\omega:\nabla_x\mathbf{u}_\omega$ on the left hand side can be neglected since it is positive and the rest of the terms converge due to the same

arguments as in Section 4.1. This way as $\omega \to 0$ we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega_\tau} \left(\varrho \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{u}|^2 + \varrho P_e(\varrho) + \frac{\delta}{\beta-1}\varrho^\beta + (\varrho+\delta)Q(\vartheta) \right)(\tau)\,\mathrm{d}x + \int_{B\setminus\Omega_\tau} \delta Q(\vartheta(\tau,\cdot))\,\mathrm{d}x$$
$$+ \delta \int_0^\tau \int_{\Omega_t} \mathbb{S} : \nabla_x \mathbf{u} + \vartheta^{\alpha+1}\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t + \delta \int_0^\tau \int_{B\setminus\Omega_t} \vartheta^{\alpha+1}\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t$$
$$\leq \int_{\Omega_0} \frac{1}{2}\frac{|(\varrho\mathbf{u})_{0,\delta}|^2}{\varrho_{0,\delta}} + \varrho_{0,\delta}P_e(\varrho_{0,\delta}) + \frac{\delta}{\beta-1}\varrho_{0,\delta}^\beta + (\varrho_{0,\delta}+\delta)Q(\vartheta_{0,\delta})\,\mathrm{d}x + \int_{\Omega_\tau} (\varrho\mathbf{u}\cdot\mathbf{V})(\tau,\cdot)\,\mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Omega_0} (\varrho\mathbf{u})_{0,\delta}\cdot\mathbf{V}(0,\cdot)\,\mathrm{d}x$$
$$+ \int_0^\tau \int_{\Omega_t} \left(\mu\left(\nabla_x \mathbf{u} + \nabla_x^t \mathbf{u} - \frac{2}{3}\mathrm{div}_x \mathbf{u}\mathbb{I} \right) : \nabla_x \mathbf{V} - \varrho\mathbf{u}\cdot\partial_t\mathbf{V} - \varrho\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{u} : \nabla_x\mathbf{V} - p(\varrho,\vartheta)\mathrm{div}_x\mathbf{V} - \frac{\delta}{\beta-1}\varrho^\beta \mathrm{div}_x\mathbf{V} \right)\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t$$
$$+ \int_{B\setminus\Omega_0} \delta Q(\vartheta_{0,\delta})\,\mathrm{d}x. \quad (4.31)$$

4.4 Vanishing artificial pressure

In this section we make the final limit procedure $\delta \to 0$ while setting $\nu = \nu(\delta)$ in a suitable way. In order to derive estimates independent of δ, which we need further, we proceed in the following way. Starting from (4.31) we get

$$\int_{\Omega_\tau} \left(\frac{1}{2}\varrho|\mathbf{u}|^2 + \varrho P_e(\varrho) + \frac{\delta}{\beta-1}\varrho^\beta + (\varrho+\delta)Q(\vartheta) \right)(\tau,\cdot)\,\mathrm{d}x$$
$$\leq c(\mathbf{V}) \left(1 + \|\nabla_x \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(Q_T)} + \int_0^\tau \int_{\Omega_t} \frac{1}{2}\varrho|\mathbf{u}|^2 + \varrho P_e(\varrho) + \varrho Q(\vartheta)\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t \right), \quad (4.32)$$

where $c(\mathbf{V})$ may depend also on B, T and initial conditions but is independent of δ. Using the Gronwall inequality, we get

$$\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{\tau\in(0,T)} \left(\int_{\Omega_t} \left(\frac{1}{2}\varrho|\mathbf{u}|^2 + \varrho P_e(\varrho) + \frac{\delta}{\beta-1}\varrho^\beta + (\varrho+\delta)Q(\vartheta) \right)(\tau,\cdot)\,\mathrm{d}x + \int_{B\setminus\Omega_\tau} \delta Q(\vartheta)(\tau,\cdot)\,\mathrm{d}x \right)$$
$$+ \delta \int_0^T \int_B \vartheta^{\alpha+1}\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t \leq c\left(1 + \|\nabla_x\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(Q_T)}\right). \quad (4.33)$$

In the same way as in [5] one may derive from equation (4.29) that

$$\|\nabla_x\mathbf{u}\|^2_{L^2(Q_T)} \leq \int_0^T \int_{\Omega_t} \mathbb{S} : \nabla_x\mathbf{u}\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t \leq \int_0^T \int_{\Omega_t} \vartheta p_\vartheta(\varrho)|\mathrm{div}_x\mathbf{u}|\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t + c(1+\|\nabla_x\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(Q_T)})$$
$$\leq \left(\int_0^T \int_{\Omega_t} \vartheta^2 p_\vartheta^2(\varrho)\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla_x\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(Q_T)} + c(1+\|\nabla_x\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(Q_T)}). \quad (4.34)$$

Further,

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega_t} \vartheta^2 \varrho^{\frac{2\gamma}{3}} \,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t \le \int_0^T \left(\int_{\Omega_t} \varrho \vartheta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \,\mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{4}{\alpha}} \left(\int_{\Omega_t} \varrho^{\frac{2\alpha\gamma-12}{3\alpha-12}} \,\mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{\alpha-4}{\alpha}} \,\mathrm{d}t$$
$$\le c \left(\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\in(0,T)} \int_{\Omega_t} \varrho Q(\vartheta) \,\mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{2}{\alpha}} \int_0^T \left(\int_{\Omega_t} \varrho^{\gamma} \,\mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{2\gamma\alpha-12}{3\gamma\alpha}} \,\mathrm{d}t$$
$$\le c(1 + \|\nabla_x \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2((0,T)\times\Omega_t)})^{\frac{4}{\alpha}+\frac{2\gamma\alpha-12}{3\gamma\alpha}} \tag{4.35}$$

provided $\alpha \ge \frac{12(\gamma-1)}{\gamma}$. Note that $\frac{4}{\alpha} + \frac{2\gamma\alpha-12}{3\gamma\alpha} < 2$ for any $\alpha \ge 4$ and $\gamma \ge \frac{3}{2}$. Combining this and (4.34) we obtain

$$\|\nabla_x \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(Q_T)} \le c \tag{4.36}$$

with constant independent of δ. Going back to (4.33) we get

$$\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\in(0,T)} \|\sqrt{\varrho}\mathbf{u}(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2(Q_T)} \le c, \tag{4.37}$$

$$\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\in(0,T)} \|\varrho(t,\cdot)\|_{L^\gamma(\Omega_t)} \le c, \tag{4.38}$$

$$\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\in(0,T)} \delta\|\varrho(t,\cdot)\|^\beta_{L^\beta(\Omega_t)} \le c, \tag{4.39}$$

$$\delta \int_0^T \int_B \vartheta^{\alpha+1} \,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t \le c, \tag{4.40}$$

$$\delta \int_0^T \int_B Q(\vartheta) \,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t \le c, \tag{4.41}$$

with c independent of δ.

Let $\{\varrho_\delta, \mathbf{u}_\delta, \vartheta_\delta\}_{\delta>0}$ be a solution constructed in the previous section as a consequence of letting $\omega \to 0$. Now we pass to the limit with $\delta \to 0$ in the weak formulations of the equations and inequalities (4.12), (4.27), (4.29), (4.31). The key point here is again the strong convergence of densities which is obtained using the results on propagation of oscillations mentioned already in Section 4.1.2, for more details see [4]. Having this, we pass to the limit in the continuity equation (4.12) which together with Lemma 4.1 yields (2.5). We also easily pass to the limit in the momentum equation (4.27) with test function $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ as in (4.22). Concerning the total energy inequality (4.31) we proceed in the same steps as in [4, Section 7.5] to obtain (2.12). It remains to pass to the limit in the thermal energy inequality.

4.4.1 Thermal energy inequality

In order to get a proper limit in thermal energy equation, we set $\nu = \delta^2$, we take $h(\vartheta_\delta) = \frac{1}{(1+\vartheta_\delta)^z}$, $z \in (0,1)$ in (4.29) and we let δ to 0. In order to prove that the terms integrated over the solid part $B \setminus \Omega_t$ vanish in the limit we proceed in the following way. We have, due to (4.40) and the Hölder inequality,

$$\int_0^T \int_B \delta h(\vartheta_\delta) \vartheta_\delta^{\alpha+1} \varphi \,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t \le c \int_0^T \int_B \delta \vartheta_\delta^{\alpha+1-z} \,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t = c \int_0^T \int_B \delta^{\frac{\alpha+1-z}{\alpha+1}} \vartheta_\delta^{\alpha+1-z} \delta^{\frac{z}{\alpha+1}} \,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t$$
$$\le c\delta^{\frac{z}{\alpha+1}} \left(\int_0^T \int_B \delta \vartheta_\delta^{\alpha+1} \,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t \right)^{\frac{\alpha+1-z}{\alpha+1}} \le c\delta^{\frac{z}{\alpha+1}} \to 0 \quad \text{as } \delta \to 0.$$

Similarly,

$$\left|\int_0^T\int_B \delta Q_h(\vartheta_\delta)\partial_t\varphi\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t\right| \le c\left(\int_0^T\int_B \delta\vartheta_\delta\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t + \int_0^T\int_B \delta\vartheta_\delta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t\right)$$
$$\le c\left(\delta^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}}\left(\int_0^T\int_B \delta\vartheta_\delta^{\alpha+1}\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}} + \delta^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_0^T\int_B \delta\vartheta_\delta^{\alpha+1}\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\to 0 \quad \text{as } \delta\to 0.$$

Finally, by the choice $\nu=\delta^2$ we have

$$\left|\int_0^T\int_{B\setminus\Omega_t} \mathcal{K}_{\nu,h}(\vartheta_\delta)\Delta\varphi\ ,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t\right| \le \int_0^T\int_{B\setminus\Omega_t}\delta^2\int_0^{\vartheta_\delta}\kappa(z)\ \mathrm{d}z|\Delta\varphi|\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t$$
$$\le c\int_0^T\int_{B\setminus\Omega_t}\delta^2\left(\vartheta+\vartheta^{\alpha+1}\right)|\Delta\varphi|\,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t\to 0 \quad \text{as } \delta\to 0.$$

We omit the details concerning the limit in the terms integrated on the fluid part Ω_t, since it is done in the same way as in [4, Section 7.5.5] by first proceeding with $\delta\to 0$ keeping z fixed and then passing with $z\to 0$. In the limit, (2.14) is obtained, which completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

5 Discussion

The assumption on monotonicity of the pressure is not necessary, the same result can be obtained for a non-monotone pressure adopting the method developed in [3].

The case of nonconstant viscosities $\mu=\mu(\vartheta)$ and $\eta=\eta(\vartheta)$ for bounded, continuously differentiable, globally Lipschitz functions μ,η with μ bounded away from zero, can be also treated by adopting the technique of [5].

As pointed out in the introduction, the general Navier slip conditions (1.15) are obtained introducing another boundary integral in the weak formulation, namely

$$\int_0^T\int_{\Gamma_t}\zeta(\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{V})\cdot\boldsymbol{\varphi}\ \mathrm{dS}_x\ \mathrm{d}t$$

Taking $\zeta=\zeta(x)$ as a singular parameter, we can deduce results for mixed type no-slip - (partial) slip boundary conditions prescribed on various components of Γ_t.

References

[1] M. Bulíček, J. Málek, and K.R. Rajagopal. Navier's slip and evolutionary Navier-Stokes-like systems with pressure and shear-rate dependent viscosity. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **56**:51–86, 2007.

[2] R.J. DiPerna and P.-L. Lions. Ordinary differential equations, transport theory and Sobolev spaces. *Invent. Math.*, **98**:511–547, 1989.

[3] E. Feireisl. Compressible Navier-Stokes equations with a non - monotone pressure law. *J. Differential Equations*, **184**:97–108, 2002.

[4] E. Feireisl. *Dynamics of viscous compressible fluids*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2004.

[5] E. Feireisl. On the motion of a viscous, compressible, and heat conducting fluid. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **53**:1707–1740, 2004.

[6] E. Feireisl, J. Neustupa, and J. Stebel. Convergence of a Brinkman-type penalization for compressible fluid flows. *J. Differential Equations*, **250**(1):596–606, 2011.

[7] E. Feireisl, O. Kreml, Š. Nečasová, J. Neustupa, J. Stebel. *Weak solutions to the barotropic Navier-Stokes system with slip boundary conditions in time dependent domains.* J. Differential Equations **254** (2013), no. 1, 125-140

[8] E. Feireisl and A. Novotný. *Singular limits in thermodynamics of viscous fluids*. Birkhäuser-Verlag, Basel, 2009.

[9] E. Feireisl, A. Novotný, and H. Petzeltová. On the existence of globally defined weak solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations of compressible isentropic fluids. *J. Math. Fluid Mech.*, **3**:358–392, 2001.

[10] E. Feireisl and H. Petzeltová. On integrability up to the boundary of the weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations of compressible flow. *Commun. Partial Differential Equations*, **25**(3-4):755–767, 2000.

[11] O. A. Ladyzhenskaja. An initial-boundary value problem for the Navier-Stokes equations in domains with boundary changing in time. *Zap. Naučn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI)*, **11**:97–128, 1968.

[12] P.-L. Lions. *Mathematical topics in fluid dynamics, Vol.2, Compressible models*. Oxford Science Publication, Oxford, 1998.

[13] J. Neustupa. Existence of a weak solution to the Navier-Stokes equation in a general time-varying domain by the Rothe method. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, **32**(6):653–683, 2009.

[14] J. Neustupa and P. Penel. A weak solvability of the Navier-Stokes equation with Navier's boundary condition around a ball striking the wall. In *Advances in mathematical fluid mechanics*, pages 385–407. Springer, Berlin, 2010.

[15] J. Neustupa and P. Penel. A weak solvability of the Navier-Stokes system with Navier's boundary condition around moving and striking bodies. *Recent Developments of Mathematical Fluid Mechanics*, Editors: Amann, H., Giga, Y., Kozono, H., Okamoto, H., Yamazaki, M. To appear in Birkhauser, series: Advances in Mathematical Fluid Mechanics.

[16] N. V. Priezjev and S.M. Troian. Influence of periodic wall roughness on the slip behaviour at liquid/solid interfaces: molecular versus continuum predictions. *J. Fluid Mech.*, **554**:25–46, 2006.

[17] Y. Stokes and G. Carrey. On generalised penalty approaches for slip, free surface and related boundary conditions in viscous flow simulation. *Inter. J. Numer. Meth. Heat Fluid Flow*, **21**:668–702, 2011.